arXiv:math/0604329v1 [math.DG] 14 Apr 2006

PROJECTIVE EMBEDDINGS AND LAGRANGIAN FIBRATIONS OF KUMMER VARIETIES

YUICHI NOHARA

Abstract. In this paper, we study asymptotic behavior of projective embeddings of Kummer varieties given by theta functions, and their amoebas. We prove that a Lagrangian fibration of the Kummer variety can be approximated by moment maps of the projective spaces.

1. Introduction

Let (X, L) be a polarized projective variety defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Then for sufficiently large integer k, X can be embedded into a projective space by holomorphic sections of L^k:

$$\iota_k : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{N_k} = \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^k)^*.$$

We consider a natural torus action on $\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}$. Then we have a moment map

$$\mu_k : \mathbb{CP}^{N_k} \longrightarrow \Delta_k \subset \mathrm{Lie}(T^{N_k})^*.$$

Note that μ_k is a Lagrangian fibration of $\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}$ with respect to the Fubini-Study metric ω_{FS}. We denote by B_k the image of X under μ_k. B_k is called a *compactified amoeba*. We study the limit of B_k and the restriction $\pi_k : X \to B_k$ of the moment map μ_k to X as $k \to \infty$.

The amoebas heavily depend on the choice of projective embeddings, or equivalently the choice of basis of $H^0(X, L^k)$. Of course, there is no natural choice of basis in general. However, in several cases, Lagrangian fibrations give natural basis of $H^0(X, L^k)$. The relation can be interpreted in terms of geometric quantization or mirror symmetry.

A typical example is the case of abelian varieties. Let $A = \mathbb{C}^n/\Omega\mathbb{Z}^n + \mathbb{Z}^n$ be an abelian variety and $L \to A$ a principally polarization. Then holomorphic sections of L^k are essentially given by the *theta functions*. It is well known that there are some natural choices of basis of theta functions. For example,

$$\vartheta\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -b \end{bmatrix}(k^{-1}\Omega, z), \quad b \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n,$$

give a basis of $H^0(A, L^k)$, where

$$\vartheta\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}(\Omega, z) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e\left(\frac{1}{2}{}^t(l+a)\Omega(l+a) + {}^t(l+a)(z+b)\right),$$
$$e(t) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t).$$

In other words, we have the following isomorphism

$$H^0(A, L^k) \cong \bigoplus_{b \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n} \mathbb{C} \cdot b \,,$$

where the right hand side is the vector space formally spanned by k-torsion points $b \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$. This isomorphism can be given by the Lagrangian fibration

$$\pi : A \longrightarrow T^n \,, \quad z = \Omega x + y \longmapsto y \,.$$

See [12] for the interpretation in terms of geometric quantization, and [8], [4] for the interpretation in terms of mirror symmetry. We consider the projective embeddings defined by the above basis:

$$\iota_k : A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{k^n - 1} \,, \quad z \mapsto \left(\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -b_1 \end{bmatrix} (k^{-1}\Omega, z) : \cdots : \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -b_{k^n} \end{bmatrix} (k^{-1}\Omega, z) \right) \,.$$

It is easy to see that the restriction

$$\pi_k = \mu_k \circ \iota_k : A \to B_k$$

of the moment map is invariant under the translations

$$\Omega x + y \mapsto \Omega(x + a) + y \,, \quad a \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \,.$$

Namely, π_k looks "close" to π for large k. In fact, the author [6] proved that π_k converges to π as $k \to \infty$ as maps between compact metric spaces.

In this paper, we consider the case of Kummer varieties $X = A/(-1)_A$, where $(-1)_A : A \to A$ is the inverse morphism. In this case, ample line bundles and Lagrangian fibrations can be induced from those on A. In particular, we have natural basis of holomorphic sections. We prove that the Lagrangian fibration of X can be also approximated by the moment maps of projective space.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we summarize the asymptotic behavior of theta functions we use in this paper. The precise statement of the main theorem is given in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the convergence theorem of Kähler metrics which is a part of the main theorem. In Section 5, we prove the remaining parts of the main theorem.

2. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THETA FUNCTIONS

Let $A = \mathbb{C}^n/\Omega\mathbb{Z}^n + \mathbb{Z}^n$ be an abelian variety and consider the principal polarization $L \to A$ defined by

$$L = (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C})/(\Omega\mathbb{Z}^n + \mathbb{Z}^n) \,,$$

where the action of $\Omega\mathbb{Z}^n + \mathbb{Z}^n$ on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$(z, \zeta) \mapsto (z + \lambda, e^{\pi {}^t\bar{\lambda}(\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1} z + \frac{\pi}{2} {}^t\bar{\lambda}(\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1}\lambda} \zeta)$$

for $\lambda \in \Omega\mathbb{Z}^n + \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then L is symmetric, i.e.

$$(-1)_A^* L \cong L \,.$$

We denote the flat Kähler metric in the class $c_1(L)$ by

$$\omega_0 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum h_{\alpha\beta} dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}^\beta \,,$$

where we write $(h_{\alpha\beta}) = (\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1}$. Then $h_0 = \exp(-\pi {}^t z (\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1} \bar{z})$ gives a Hermitian metric on L such that $c_1(L, h_0) = \omega_0$.

Let T^b and T^f be two n-dimensional tori $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ and identify $A \cong T^b \times T^f$ by $z = \Omega x + y \leftrightarrow (x, y)$. Then the natural projection

$$\pi : (A, \omega_0) \longrightarrow T^b, \quad \Omega x + y \longmapsto y$$

is a Lagrangian fibration.

For each integer k, we denote the subgroups of k-torsion points by

$$\begin{aligned} T^b_k &\cong T^f_k \cong \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \,, \\ A_k &= T^b_k \times T^f_k \,, \end{aligned}$$

and we write $\{b_i\}_{i=1,\dots k^2} = \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n = T^b_k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} s_{b_i}(z) &= s_i(z) \\ &= C_\Omega k^{-\frac{n}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2} {}^t z (\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1} z\right) \cdot \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -b_i \end{bmatrix} (k^{-1}\Omega, kz) \,, \quad b_i \in T^b_k \end{aligned}$$

give a basis of $H^0(A, L^k)$, where $C_\Omega = 2^{\frac{n}{4}} (\det(\operatorname{Im}\Omega))^{\frac{1}{4}}$.

By looking at the action of Heisenberg groups, we have the following (see [6]):

Proposition 2.1. *The basis $\{s_i\}$ are orthonormal with respect to the L^2-inner product.*

Lemma 2.2.

$$\begin{aligned} s_j(z) = C k^{\frac{n}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi k}{2} {}^t z (\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1} z\right) & \\ \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\pi k}{\sqrt{-1}} {}^t (z - b_j) \Omega^{-1} (z - b_j)\right) & (1 + \phi) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$|\phi| = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right) \,, \quad |d\phi| = O(1) \,.$$

Proof. From the definition of the theta function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}0\\-b\end{bmatrix}(k^{-1}\Omega, z)\\
&\quad=\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^n} e\left(\frac{1}{2k}{}^t l\Omega l+{}^t l(z+b)\right)\\
&\quad=\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^n} e\left(\frac{1}{2}{}^t\!\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{k}}+\sqrt{k}\Omega^{-1}(z-b)\right)\Omega\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{k}}+\sqrt{k}\Omega^{-1}(z-b)\right)\right)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\times e\left(-\frac{k}{2}{}^t(z-b)\Omega^{-1}(z-b)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

What we need to prove is that

$$\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^n} e\left(\frac{1}{2}{}^t\!\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{k}}+\sqrt{k}\Omega^{-1}(z-b)\right)\Omega\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{k}}+\sqrt{k}\Omega^{-1}(z-b)\right)\right)$$

has the form $Ck^{\frac{n}{2}}(1+\phi)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^n} e\left(\frac{1}{2}{}^t\!\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{k}}+\sqrt{k}\Omega^{-1}(z-b)\right)\Omega\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{k}}+\sqrt{k}\Omega^{-1}(z-b)\right)\right)\\
&\quad=k^{\frac{n}{2}}\sum_{l\in\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\mathbb{Z}^n+\sqrt{k}\Omega^{-1}(z-b)}\exp\left(\pi\sqrt{-1}{}^t l\Omega l\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{k^n}}\\
&\quad=k^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\ltimes}}\exp\left(\pi\sqrt{-1}{}^t l\Omega l\right)dl+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\right)\\
&\quad=Ck^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&d\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^n} e\left(\frac{1}{2}{}^t\!\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{k}}+\sqrt{k}\Omega^{-1}(z-b)\right)\Omega\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{k}}+\sqrt{k}\Omega^{-1}(z-b)\right)\right)\\
&\quad=2\pi\sqrt{-1}k^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\sum_{l\in\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\mathbb{Z}^n+\sqrt{k}\Omega^{-1}(z-b)}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k^n}}\exp\left(\pi\sqrt{-1}{}^t l\Omega l\right){}^t l dz\\
&\quad=2\pi\sqrt{-1}k^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\exp\left(\pi\sqrt{-1}{}^t l\Omega l\right)({}^t l dz)dl+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\right)\\
&\quad=O\left(k^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2 follows from the above estimates. □

Corollary 2.3. *There exists constants $C, c>0$ independent of k such that,*

$$|s_i(z)|^2_{h_0}\le Ck^{\frac{n}{2}}e^{-ck\cdot d_{T^b}(y,b_i)^2},$$

for each $z=\Omega x+y\in X$, where d_{T^b} is a distance on T^b .

3. CONVERGENCE THEOREM FOR KUMMER VARIETIES

In this section, we state a convergence theorem of Lagrangian fibration for Kummer varieties $X = A/(-1)_A$.

Let $L \to A$ be the line bundle defined in section 2. Since L is symmetric, there exists a line bundle M on X satisfying

$$p^*M \cong L^2,$$

where $p : A \to X$ is the natural projection (see [1] for the case of Kummer surfaces and [10] for higher dimensional case). From the fact that $p^* : \mathrm{Pic}(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}(A)$ is injective, we have $p^*M^k \cong L^{2k}$.

From the symmetricity condition for L, the inverse morphism $(-1)_A : A \to A$ lifts to an involution $(-1)_L : L \to L$. In our situation, the involution $(-1)_L$ is given by $(z,\zeta) \mapsto (-z,\zeta)$. We consider the involution on $H^0(A, L^{2k})$ defined by

$$H^0(A, L^{2k}) \longrightarrow H^0(A, L^{2k})\,, \quad s \longmapsto (-1)_{L^{2k}} s (-1)_A\,.$$

We denote the subspace of invariant sections under the above involution by $H^0(A, L^{2k})^+$. By direct computation, we have

$$(-1)_{L^{2k}} s_{b_i} (-1)_A = s_{-b_i}$$

for each $b_i \in T^b_{2k}$. In particular, $H^0(A, L^k)^+$ is spanned by $s_{b_i} + s_{-b_i}$. Note that

$$\dim H^0(A, L^{2k})^+ = \frac{(2k)^n - 2^n}{2} + 2^n = 2^{n-1}(k^n + 1)\,.$$

It is easy to see that $p^* : H^0(X, M^k) \hookrightarrow H^0(A, L^{2k})$ gives an isomorphism

$$H^0(X, M^k) \cong H^0(A, L^k)^+.$$

Let ω be the flat orbifold metric in the class $c_1(M)$:

$$\omega = \sqrt{-1} \sum h_{\alpha\beta} dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}^\beta\,.$$

Then that natural map

$$\pi : X = A/(-1)_A \longrightarrow B = T^b/(-1),$$

induced from $\pi : A \to T^b$ is a Lagrangian fibration with respect to ω.

For a section $t \in H^0(X, M^k)$ corresponding to $s \in H^0(A, L^{2k})^+$, its L^2-norm is

$$\|t\|^2_{L^2(X,\omega)} = \int_X |t|^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{2} \int_A |s|^2 \frac{(2\omega_0)^n}{n!} = 2^{n-1} \|s\|_{L^2(A,\omega_0)}\,.$$

Therefore

$$t_i = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} (s_{b_i} + s_{-b_i})\,, & \text{if } b_i \in T^b_{2k} \backslash T^b_2, \\ \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n-1}}} s_{b_i}\,, & \text{if } b_i \in T^b_2 \end{cases}$$

give an orthonormal basis of $H^0(X, M^k)$.

Let

$$\iota_k : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{N_k}, \qquad z \longmapsto (t_i(z))$$

be the projective embedding defined by the above basis. Let $T^{N_k} \subset SU(N_k+1)$ be the maximal torus which consists of diagonal matrices and consider its natural action on $\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}$. This action is Hamiltonian and its moment map is given by

$$\mu_k(Z^0 : \cdots : Z^{N_k}) = \frac{1}{\sum |Z^i|^2} \left(|Z^0|^2, \ldots, |Z^{N_k}|^2\right) ,$$

here we identify the dual of the Lie algebra of T^{N_k} with

$$\left\{ (\xi_0, \ldots, \xi_{N_k}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_k+1} \;\middle|\; \sum \xi_i = 1 \right\} .$$

Denote the image of $\iota_k(X) \subset \mathbb{CP}^{N_k}$ under μ_k by B_k and consider the restriction of μ_k to X:

$$\pi_k := \mu_k \circ \iota_k : X \longrightarrow B_k .$$

We also put $\omega_k = \frac{1}{k}\iota_k^* \omega_{\mathrm{F}S}$. We claim that $\pi_k : (X, \omega_k) \to B_k$ converge to the Lagrangian fibration $\pi : (X, \omega) \to B$ in the "Gromov-Hausdorff topology".

For that purpose, we need to define distances on B and B_k. We define a metric on B in such a way that $\pi : (X, \omega) \to B$ is a Riemannian submersion. To define a distance on $B_k \subset \Delta_k$, it suffices to define a metric on Δ_k, where $\Delta_k = \mu_k(\mathbb{CP}^{N_k})$ is the moment polytope of $\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}$. The metric on Δ_k is also defined in such a way that

$$\mu_k : \left(\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}, \frac{1}{k}\omega_{\mathrm{FS}} \right) \longrightarrow \Delta_k$$

is a Riemannian submersion in the interior of Δ_k. This is equivalent to the following definition. Consider the restriction $\mu_k : \mathbb{RP}^{N_k} \to \Delta_k$ of the moment map to $\mathbb{RP}^{N_k} \subset \mathbb{CP}^{N_k}$. This is a 2^{N_k}-sheeted covering which branches on the boundary of Δ_k. By identifying Δ_k with a sheet of $\mathbb{RP}^{N_k}$, the restriction of the normalized Fubini-Study metric $\frac{1}{k}\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}$ gives a metric on Δ_k. The distance on B_k is induced from this metric.

Theorem 3.1. *$\pi_k : (X, \omega_k) \to B_k$ converges to $\pi : (X, \omega) \to B$ as $k \to \infty$ in the following sense:*

- (i) *ω_k converges to ω in C^∞ on each compact set in $X \backslash \mathrm{Sing(X)}$. Moreover, the sequence $\{(X, \omega_k)\}$ of compact Riemanian manifolds converges to (X, ω) with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology.*
- (ii) *B_k converge to B with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology.*
- (iii) *The sequence of maps $\pi_k : X \to B_k$ converges to $\pi : X \to B$ as maps between metric spaces.*

Before the proof, we recall the definition of Gromov-Hausdorff convergence and convergence of maps.

First we recall the notion of *Hausdorff distance.* Let Z be a metric space and $X, Y \subset Z$ be two subsets. We denote the ε-neighborhood of X in Z by $B(X, \varepsilon)$. Then the Hausdorff distance between X and Y is given by

$$d_{\mathrm{H}}^{Z}(X,Y) = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 \mid X \subset B(Y,\varepsilon),\ Y \subset B(X,\varepsilon) \right\} .$$

For metric spaces X and Y, the *Gromov-Hausdorff distance* is defined by

$$d_{\mathrm{GH}}(X,Y) = \inf\{ d_{\mathrm{H}}^{Z}(X,Y) \mid X, Y \hookrightarrow Z \text{ are isometric embeddings.}\},$$

or equivalently,

$$d_{\mathrm{GH}}(X,Y) = \inf\{ d_{\mathrm{H}}^{X \coprod Y}(X,Y) \},$$

where the infimum is taken over all distances on the disjoint union $X \coprod Y$ compatible with those on X and Y.

Next we recall the notion of convergence of maps (see also [7]). Let $f_k : X_k \to Y_k$, $f : X \to Y$ be maps between metric spaces. Suppose that X_k and Y_k converge to X and Y respectively with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff distance. From the definition of Gromov-Hausdorff distances, there exist isometric embeddings

$$X,\, X_k \hookrightarrow Z \left(= X \coprod (\coprod_k X_k) \right), \quad Y,\, Y_k \hookrightarrow W \left(= Y \coprod (\coprod_i Y_k) \right)$$

such that X_i (resp. Y_k) converge to X (resp. Y) with respect to the Hausdorff distance in Z (resp. W). In this case, we say that $\{f_i\}$ converges to f if for every sequence $x_k \in X_k$ converging to $x \in X$, $f_k(x_k)$ converges to $f(x)$ in W.

4. Convergence of Kähler metrics

In this section, we prove the first statement of the main theorem. In the case of abelian variety, it follows from the theorem of Tian [11] and Zelditch [13]. To discuss the case of Kummer varieties, we need an orbifold version of Zelditch's theorem.

Theorem 4.1 (Song [10]). *Let* (X, ω) *be a compact Kähler orbifold of dimension* $n \geq 2$ *with only finite isolated singularities* $\mathrm{Sing}(X) = \{e_j\}_{j=1}^{m}$*, and* $(M, h) \to X$ *be an orbifold Hermitian line bundle with* $c_1(M, h) = \omega$*. For each* $k \gg 1$*, we take an orthonormal basis of* $H^0(X, M^k)$ *and consider the projective embedding* $\iota_k : X \to \mathbb{CP}^{N_k}$ *defined by them. We put* $\omega_k = \frac{1}{k}\iota_k^* \omega_{\mathrm{FS}}$ *as before. Then*

$$\|\omega - \omega_k\|_{C^q, z} \leq C_q \left(\frac{1}{k} + k^{\frac{q}{2}} e^{-k\delta r(z)^2} \right) , \tag{1}$$

where $\| \cdot \|_{C^q,z}$ *denote the* C^q*-norm at* $z \in X$ *and* $r(z)$ *is the distance between* z *and the singular set. In particular,* ω_k *converges to* ω *in* C^∞ *on each compact set in* $X \backslash \mathrm{Sing(X)}$.

Remark 4.2. Dai-Liu-Ma [2] also proved the similar theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 4.1,* (X, ω_k) *converges to* (X, ω) *with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology.*

Proof. Let d and d_k be the distances on X defined by ω and ω_k respectively. For each $e \in \mathrm{Sing}(X)$, we put

$$D_k(e) := \left\{ z \in X \;\middle|\; d(z,e) < \sqrt{\frac{\log k}{\delta k}} \right\}$$

and

$$U_k := X \setminus \bigcup_{e \in \mathrm{Sing}(X)} D_k(e) .$$

Then

$$d_{\mathrm{GH}}\big((X,\omega),(U_k,\omega)\big) \leq O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}\right) \tag{2}$$

by definition.

From (1), we have

$$\|\omega - \omega_k\|_{C^1} \leq \frac{C}{k}$$

on U_k. This implies that

$$d_{\mathrm{GH}}\big((U_k,\omega),(U_k,\omega_k)\big) \leq O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) . \tag{3}$$

Take $e \in \mathrm{Sing}(X)$ and $z_0 \in X$ which is close to e. Let

$$\gamma : [0,l] \longrightarrow X$$

be a geodesic from z_0 to e with $|\dot{\gamma}| = 1$. Then $d(z_0, e) = l$. From (1),

$$|\dot{\gamma}|_{\omega_k} \leq \left(1 + \frac{C}{k} + Ce^{-\delta k r(\gamma(t))^2}\right) = \left(1 + \frac{C}{k} + Ce^{-\delta k (l-t)^2}\right) .$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_k(z_0,e) &\leq \int_0^l |\dot{\gamma}|_{\omega_k} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^l \left(1 + \frac{C}{k} + Ce^{-\delta k (l-t)^2}\right) dt \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{C}{k}\right) l + \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}} . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the diameter of $D_k(e)$ with respect to ω_k can be bounded by $O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}\right)$. In particular, we have

$$d_{\mathrm{GH}}\big((X,\omega_k),(U_k,\omega_k)\big) \leq O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}\right) . \tag{4}$$

By combining (2), (3) and (4), we obtain

$$d_{\mathrm{GH}}\big((X,\omega),(X,\omega_k)\big) \leq O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}\right).$$

□

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 3.1 (ii).** To prove the Gromov-Hausdorff convergence, it suffices to construct *ε-Hausdorff approximations* $\varphi_k : B \to B_k$ for large k (see [3]).

Definition 5.1. Let (X, d_X), (Y, d_Y) be two compact metric spaces. A map $\varphi : X \to Y$ is said to be an ε-Hausdorff approximation if the following two conditions are satisfied.

(1) The ε-neighborhood of $\varphi(X)$ coincides with Y.
(2) For each $x, y \in X$,

$$|d_X(x,y) - d_Y(\varphi(x),\varphi(y))| < \varepsilon\,.$$

Take $0 \in T_2^f$ and identify B with the "zero section"

$$(\{0\} \times T^b)/(-1)_A \subset X.$$

Note that the inclusion $B \hookrightarrow X$ is not necessarily isometric. We put

$$\varphi_k := \pi_k|_B : B \longrightarrow B_k.$$

We prove that φ_k is a $C\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}$-Hausdorff approximation, here $C > 0$ is a constant independent of k.

For each $b \in \mathrm{Sing}(B) = T_2^b/(-1)$, we denote the $\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{\delta k}}$-neighborhood of the singular fiber $\pi^{-1}(b)$ by

$$N_{b,k} = \left\{ z \in X \;\middle|\; d(z, \pi^{-1}(b)) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\log k}{\delta k}} \right\}$$

and set

$$\begin{aligned} X(k) &= X \backslash \bigcup_{b \in \mathrm{Sing(B)}} N_{b,k}\,, \\ B(k) &= \pi(X(k))\,, \\ B_k(k) &= \pi_k(X(k))\,. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$d_{\mathrm{H}}^B(B, B(k)) \leq O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}\right)$$

by definition.

For each $\xi \in T_p\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}$, we decompose it into vertical and horizontal parts:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_p\mathbb{CP}^{N_k} & = & T_{\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}/\Delta_k,p} & \oplus & (T_{\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}/\Delta_k,p})^{\perp} \\ \xi & = & \xi^V & + & \xi^H \end{array}$$

where $T_{\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}/\Delta_k,p} = \ker d\mu_k$ is the tangent space of the fiber of $\mu_k : \mathbb{CP}^{N_k} \to \Delta_k$ and $(T_{\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}/\Delta_k,p})^{\perp}$ is its orthogonal complement with respect to the Fubini-Study metric. Let $(Z^0 : \cdots : Z^{N_k})$ be the homogeneous coordinate and write

$$\log \frac{Z^i}{Z^0} = u^i + \sqrt{-1}v^i \,.$$

Then $T_{\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}/\Delta_k}$ and $(T_{\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}/\Delta_k})^{\perp}$ are spanned by $\frac{\partial}{\partial v^i}$'s and $\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}$'s respectively.

Let $\gamma : [0, l] \to B_k$ be a curve and take a lift $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, l] \to X$. Then the length of γ is given by

$$\int_0^l \left| \left(\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\gamma} \right)^H \right|_{\omega_k} dt \,.$$

We also decompose TX into the vertical and horizontal spaces:

$$T_zX = T_{X/B,z} \oplus (T_{X/B,z})^{\perp} \,.$$

Then the length of a curve $\gamma : [0, l] \to B$ is given by

$$\int_0^l \left| \frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\gamma} \right|_{\omega} dt \,,$$

where $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, l] \to X$ is a horizontal lift. Therefor, to prove the theorem, we need to compare the these two decompositions.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $z \in X(k)$.*

(1) *If $\xi \in T_{X/B,z}$, then*

$$\left| d\iota_k(\xi)^H \right| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}} |\xi| \,.$$

(2) *If $\xi \in (T_{X/B,z})^{\perp}$,*

$$\left| d\iota_k(\xi)^V \right| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}} |\xi| \,.$$

Proof. Since

$$d(z, \pi^{-1}(b_j)) \ge \sqrt{\frac{\log k}{\delta k}} \quad \text{or} \quad d(z, \pi^{-1}(b_j)) \ge \sqrt{\frac{\log k}{\delta k}}$$

on $p^{-1}(X(k)) \subset A$,

$$\left| s_{b_j}(z) \right|_{C^r} \le k^{\frac{n}{4}+r} O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \quad \text{or} \quad \left| s_{-b_j}(z) \right|_{C^r} \le k^{\frac{n}{4}+r} O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \right)$$

for $r = 0, 1$. From this and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$t_j(z) = Ck^{\frac{n}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi k}{2} {}^t z (\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1} z\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\pi k}{\sqrt{-1}} {}^t(z - b_j)\Omega^{-1}(z - b_j)\right)(1 + \phi)\ .$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{Z^j}{Z^0} &= \frac{t_j(z)}{t_0(z)} \\ &= C_j \exp\Big(2\pi\sqrt{-1}{}^t(b_j - b_0)\Omega^{-1}z\Big) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right) \\ &= C_j \exp\Big(2\pi k\sqrt{-1}{}^t(b_j - b_0)(x + \operatorname{Re}(\Omega^{-1})y) - 2\pi k^t(b_j - b_0)\operatorname{Im}(\Omega^{-1})y\Big) \\ &\qquad + \phi(z)\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

for some constant C_j.

Recall that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ tangents to fibers. Thus $(T_{X/X^-})^{\perp}$ is spanned by $J\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$'s, where J is the complex structure on X. By direct computation, we have

$$\left(J\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \dots, J\frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}\right)\Big(-\operatorname{Re}(\Omega^{-1})(\operatorname{Im}\Omega^{-1})^{-1}\Big) + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}\right)(\operatorname{Im}\Omega^{-1})^{-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\Big({}^t(b_j - b_0)\operatorname{Im}(\Omega^{-1})y\Big) &= 0, \\ J\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\Big({}^t(b_j - b_0)(x + \operatorname{Re}(\Omega^{-1})y)\Big) &= 0\,.\end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)^H\right| &\le \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}}\left|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)^V\right|, \\ \left|\left(J\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)^V\right| &\le \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}}\left|\left(J\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)^H\right|\,.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.3. *There exist a constant $C > 0$ such that the $C\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}$-neighborhood of $\varphi_k(B)$ coincides with B_k.*

Proof. Let $\gamma : [0,l] \to X(k)$ be a geodesic along a fiber of π. Then, from Lemma 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{B_k}(\pi_k(\gamma(0)), \pi_k(\gamma(l))) &\leq \int_0^l \left| d\iota_k(\dot\gamma)^H \right|_{\omega_k} dt \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}} \int_0^l \left|\dot\gamma\right|_{\omega} dt \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that a $\frac{C}{\sqrt{k}}$-neighborhood of $\varphi_k(B(k))$ contains $B_k(k)$.

On the other hand, the diameter of $\pi_k(N_{b,k})$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{diameter of } D_k(b) + \text{diameter of } \pi_k(\pi^{-1}(b')) \\ &\leq O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right) + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}\right) \leq O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $b' \in \partial D_k(b)$. This means that

$$d_{\mathrm{H}}^{B_k}(B_k(k), B_k) \leq O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}\right).$$

Hence the $C\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}$-neighborhood of $\varphi_k(B)$ coincides with B_k. ☐

Lemma 5.4. *For $y, y' \in B$,*

$$\left| d_B(y,y') - d_{B_k}\big(\varphi_k(y), \varphi_k(y')\big)\right| \leq C\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}\,.$$

Proof. From the above discussion, it suffices to consider on $B(k)$. Let $\gamma : [0,l] \to B(k)$ be a geodesic with $\gamma(0) = y$ and $\gamma(l) = y'$. We take its horizontal lift $\tilde\gamma : [0,l] \to X$ with $\tilde\gamma(0) = y \in B \subset X$ and $z := \tilde\gamma(l) \in \pi^{-1}(y')$. From Lemma 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned} d_B(y,y') = \int_0^l \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde\gamma\right| dt \ &\geq \int_0^l \left|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde\gamma\right)^H\right| dt - \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}} \\ &\geq d_{B_k}(\varphi_k(y), \varphi_k(z)) - \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}} \\ &\geq d_{B_k}(\varphi_k(y), \varphi_k(y')) - d_{B_k}(\varphi_k(y'), \varphi_k(z)) - \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of Lemma 5.3, $|d_{B_k}(\varphi_k(y'), \varphi_k(z))| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}}$. Hence we obtain

$$d_B(y,y') - d_{B_k}(\varphi_k(y), \varphi_k(y')) \geq \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}}\,. \tag{6}$$

Next we take a geodesic $\gamma : [0,l] \to B_k(k)$ with $\gamma(0) = \varphi_k(y)$ and $\gamma(l) = \varphi_k(y')$. Let $\tilde{\gamma} : [0,l] \to X$ be a lift of γ. Then

$$d_{B_k}(\varphi_k(y), \varphi_k(y')) = \int_0^l \left| \left(\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\gamma} \right)^H \right|_{\omega_k} dt\,.$$

We write

$$\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\gamma} = \xi + \eta, \quad \xi \in T_{X/B}\,, \quad \eta \in (T_{X/B})^{\perp}\,.$$

From Lemma 5.2,

$$\left| \left(\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\gamma} \right)^H - \eta \right| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}}\,.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{B_k}(\varphi_k(y), \varphi_k(y')) &\ge \int_0^l |\eta| dt - \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}} \\ &= \int_0^l \left| \frac{d}{dt}\pi(\tilde{\gamma}) \right|_{\omega} dt - \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}} \\ &\ge d_B(\pi(\tilde{\gamma}(0)), \pi(\tilde{\gamma}(l))) - \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$d_B(\pi(\tilde{\gamma}(0)), y)\,,\ d_B(\pi(\tilde{\gamma}(l)), y') \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}}\,,$$

we obtain

$$d_{B_k}(\varphi_k(y), \varphi_k(y')) \ge d_B(y, y') - \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}}\,. \tag{7}$$

(6) and (7) prove the lemma. □

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 3.1 (iii).** From Theorem 3.1 (ii), there exists a distance on $B \amalg B_k$ which is compatible with those on B and B_k and

$$d(b, \varphi_k(b)) \le C\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}$$

for any $b \in B$.

Lemma 5.5. *For any $z \in X$,*

$$d(\pi(z), \pi_k(z)) \le C\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}\,.$$

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 5.3,

$$d_{B_k}(\varphi_k(\pi(z)), \pi_k(z)) \le C\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}\,.$$

On the other hand, from the choice of the distance on $B \amalg B_k$,

$$d(\pi(x), \varphi_k(\pi(z))) \le C\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}\,.$$

Lemma 5.5 follows from these two inequalities. □

For each k, we denote $id_k := id_X : (X, \omega) \to (X, \omega_k)$. Then, from the proof of Theorem 4.3, there exists a distance on $(X, \omega) \amalg (\amalg_k (X, \omega_k))$ which is compatible with ω and ω_k and

$$d(z, id_k(z)) \leq C \sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}$$

for any $z \in X$.

Take $z_k \in (X, \omega_k)$ converging to $z \in (X, \omega)$ in $(X, \omega) \amalg (\amalg_k (X, \omega_k))$ as $k \to \infty$. Then $id_k^{-1}(z_k) \to z$ in (X, ω) and we have

$$\pi\big(id_k^{-1}(z_k)\big) \longrightarrow \pi(z) \quad \text{in } B\,.$$

Combining this with Lemma 5.5, we obtain

$$d(\pi_k(z_k), \pi(z)) \leq d(\pi_k(z_k), \pi(z_k)) + d_B(\pi(z_k), \pi(z)) \to 0$$

as $k \to \infty$. $\square$

References

[1] Th. Bauer, *Projective images of Kummer surfaces*, Math. Ann. 299 (1994), 155–170.

[2] X. Dai, K. Liu, and X. Ma, *On the asymptotic expansion of Bergman kernel*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 339 (2004), no. 3, 193–198.

[3] K. Fukaya, *Hausdorff convergence of Riemannian manifolds and its applications*, Recent topics in differential and analytic geometry, 143–238, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 18-I, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990.

[4] K. Fukaya, *Mirror symmetry of Abelian varieties and multi-theta functions*, J. Alg. Geom. 11 (2002), 393–512.

[5] D. Mumford, *Tata lectures on theta. I*, Progress in mathematics, 28, Birkhuser (1983).

[6] Y. Nohara, *Projective embeddings and Lagrangian fibrations of abelian varieties*, Math. Ann. 333 (2005), 741–757.

[7] P. Petersen, *Riemannian geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 171. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

[8] A. Polishchuk and E. Zaslow, *Categorical mirror symmetry: the elliptic curve*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998), 443–470.

[9] R. Sasaki, *Bounds on the degree of the equations defining Kummer varieties*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 33 (1981), no. 2, 323–333.

[10] J. Song, *The Szegö kernel on an orbifold circle bundle*, math.DG/0405071.

[11] G. Tian, *On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. 32 (1990), 99–130.

[12] J. Weitsman, Quantization via real polarization of the moduli space of flat connections and Chern-Simons gauge theory in genus one, Comm. Math. Phys. 137 (1991), 175–190.

[13] S. Zelditch, *Szegö kernels and a theorem of Tian*, International Math. Res. Notices 1998, No.6, 317–331.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA 464-8602, JAPAN

E-mail address: `m98014i@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`